Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/717,573	WU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LAURA M. MITCHELL	1636
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>LAURA M. MITCHELL</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Fei-Fei Chao</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 February 2008	Time: <u>9:00AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants gave approval for Examiner's amendment of the abstract and claims 32-33 provided there was sufficient support in the specification for the word "chimeric". The phrase "chimeric construct" can be found in paragraph 0167 of the publication of the Application.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		